

JANUARY 2017

**POLITICAL
PARTIES**

**NADIA BUTT &
JUSTINE BRIAN**



DEBATING MATTERS
**TOPIC
GUIDES**

MOTION:

**“POLITICAL PARTIES
ARE BAD FOR
DEMOCRACY”**

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH:

Institute of Ideas

 **FreeParliament**

ABOUT DEBATING MATTERS

Debating Matters because ideas matter. This is the premise of the Institute of Ideas Debating Matters Competition for sixth form students which emphasises substance, not just style, and the importance of taking ideas seriously. Debating Matters presents schools with an innovative and engaging approach to debating, where the real-world debates and a challenging format, including panel judges who engage with the students, appeal to students from a wide range of backgrounds, including schools with a long tradition of debating and those with none.

SUPPORTED BY

PRIMARY FUNDER



HEADLINE PRIZE SPONSOR



REGIONAL SPONSORS



CHAMPIONS



QUALIFYING ROUND SPONSOR



TOPIC GUIDE SPONSOR



ALUMNI CHAMPION



VENUE PARTNERS



CONTENTS

Introduction

Key terms

The Political Parties debate in context

Essential reading

Backgrounders

Organisations

In the news

KEY TERMS

[Constituent](#)

[Ideology](#)

[Liberal democracy](#)

[Representative democracy](#)

[Social contract](#)

[Whip](#)

INTRODUCTION

1 of 6

NOTES

1 Political parties are an essential feature of representative democracy in the UK [Ref: [Wikipedia](#)], and just a handful of parties have dominated British politics through most of the 19th and 20th centuries. Members of Parliament [Ref: [Parliament](#)] are elected to represent their constituents [Ref: [Merriam-Webster](#)] whilst simultaneously standing as a representative of a political party [Ref: [Parliament](#)]. This system of legislative representation is largely replicated across what is known as ‘Western’ or ‘liberal democracy’ [Ref: [Wikipedia](#)]. However, in recent times, the role and function of long-established political parties has been in the spotlight, with debate centring on whether they are a help or hindrance to democracy. In the UK the Labour Party is currently divided over its leader and internal democratic processes [Ref: [Daily Mail](#)], and previously minor parties, such as UKIP and the SNP in Scotland, have presented a challenge to the strength and roles of mainstream parties in recent years [Ref: [BBC News](#)]; in the USA the Republican Party finds itself with a divisive Presidential candidate [Ref: [Politico](#)]; and across Europe, post-war parties are under pressure from new political movements [Ref: [Guardian](#)]. However, despite this apparent upheaval, as an example, the current leadership contest in the Labour Party has led to a huge surge in membership [Ref: [International Business Times](#)], and some argue, a more active engagement between voters and party [Ref: [YouGov](#)]. For some, this is an example of political parties being good for democracy, by encouraging participation in the political process, and representing a range of political opinions and ideologies. But others question whether political parties still serve a democratic purpose, and argue that, “political parties do not represent the people; they represent themselves” [Ref: [Daily Cardinal](#)], because the role of MPs has been subverted by the competition for power between the two dominant parties in the UK. Is it possible for politicians to truly represent their constituents whilst remaining loyal to their party? Do political parties play a crucial role in the democratic process, by representing vast swathes of the electorate on a host of issues? Or are they the cause of what some call a ‘democratic deficit’ in British politics today [Ref: [Free Parliament](#)]?



THE POLITICAL PARTIES DEBATE IN CONTEXT

2 of 6

NOTES

For the people or for the party?

Democracy today is far removed from what some see as the idealised depiction of direct democracy of Plato's Athens, where citizens played a direct role in making political decisions [Ref: [History.com](#)]. The scale of modern societies, many argue, means that "direct democracy is still not an option on a large scale. Democracies with millions of voters probably still need some kind of representative system" [Ref: [Democratic Audit](#)]. In the UK, the electorate votes for a candidate to represent them in Parliament, with their primary role "to represent their constituents, including those who did not vote for them" [Ref: [News Hub](#)]. However, some deny that the will of constituents can ever be truly represented, due to the powerful influence of political parties over their MPs in parliament. Describing the role of MPs, the Free Parliament Campaign for instance, says that "although their primary duty is to their constituents, few dare defy the (party) Whip. Not only would their chances of promotion evaporate but they would also face demotion and even withdrawal of their party affiliation" [Ref: [Free Parliament](#)]. Ultimately, for critics of political parties, elected representatives "hew to that party line absolutely, speak when they're told to (which is rarely), vote how they're told to, espouse the views their leader tells them to hold, and occasionally come back to your city/town/rural area and get their picture in the paper saying that they're standing up for your interests" [Ref: [The Alfalfafield](#)]. Nevertheless, whilst not perfect, supporters argue that political parties are a necessity for democracy, ensuring that a range of ideas are represented, giving voters choice, because "parties pick up demands from society and bundle them into packages. Demands are numerous and sometimes conflicting. Parties are able to discuss and evaluate

these issues and shape human needs into policy alternatives" [Ref: [IDEA](#)]. Political parties, they argue, allow for the practical application of representative democracy, enabling "those with common values to come together and reach a position on issues that can then be offered up as a choice of programmes for voters" [Ref: [Guardian](#)].

The way forward: Independence or collective vision?

For many, it is vital that politicians are able to act according to their conscience, with 18th century British parliamentarian and writer Edmund Burke arguing that an MP, "owes you, not his industry only, but his judgment; and he betrays, instead of serving you, if he sacrifices it to your opinion." [Ref: [Speech to the Electors of Bristol, 1774](#)] In this spirit, and as an alternative to the traditional party structure, some advocate the introduction of more independent MPs into parliament to strengthen democracy. Graham Brady MP argues that such a move would ensure "proper scrutiny and challenge" of government, away from "a system that discourages independent thought" [Ref: [Telegraph](#)]. As it currently stands, some MPs in parliament are not affiliated to a political party, but instead stand for election on single issue campaigns [Ref: [BBC News](#)] or to be free of party direction [Ref: [Financial Times](#)]. In theory, supporters argue that if there were more of these independent MPs, "all of the 650 parliamentary seats would be given to individual representatives acting on behalf of their voters" [Ref: [Democratic Audit](#)]. However, others disagree, arguing that rather than representing the whim of an individual MP with a specific set of interests and aims, political parties, "combine individual ideas, interests and preferences to a larger vision or plan, often



THE POLITICAL PARTIES DEBATE IN CONTEXT CONTINUED...

3 of 6

NOTES

inspired by or accumulating to an ideology.” [Ref: [Democratic Audit](#)] They contend that the benefit of political parties to the democratic process is that they, “contribute to the stability of the government”, and are “important to the success of democracy” [Ref: [Important India](#)], acting as a “strong opposition for sustainable democracy... consistently and responsibly [exposing] the weaknesses of the ruling party and challenging it to deliver on its promises... That way the opposition is able to keep the government on its toes and motivate them to deliver on good governance” [Ref: [Guardian](#)].

A crisis of political legitimacy?

Whilst some critics of contemporary UK politics see the problem lying in the structure of the British parliament - the way MPs are elected and the dominance of the two-party system of governance - others point to a more fundamental issue. Political parties in western liberal democracies have traditionally convinced voters “that they will deliver jobs, decent wages, financial stability and enough economic growth...By and large, this is what the parties have done since the 1950s.” [Ref: [Financial Times](#)]. For these critics, the issue is not a technical or structural one to do with political parties, but instead a crisis of political legitimacy, and a breakdown of the post-war ‘social contract’. One commentator, speaking of the current American situation, where both Bernie Sanders and Donald Trump have upset the traditional party establishments, argues that “The social contract is a modern invention. It is the implicit agreement between a state and its people about how a country should be governed. When the social contract works, there is peace in the land...But when the social contract breaks down, as it seems

to be today, people get restless.” [Ref: [Salon](#)] Across liberal democracies the post-war order is being questioned as seen in the rise of new political movements [Ref: [Guardian](#)], and in the UK, politicians “know that their parties, their political vehicles, be they Tory or Labour, no longer have deep roots in wider society”, and they are unable to turn “their parties into expressions, representations, of social sentiment, to inspire people, to articulate with clarity the interests and vision of large swathes of the British populace” [Ref: [spiked](#)]. So would independent MPs be a solution for what some call our “malfunctioning democracy” [Ref: [Guardian](#)]? Or do political parties still play a vital role – holding government to account and cohering people around broad political aims? Are political parties good for democracy?



ESSENTIAL READING

[Speech to the electors of Bristol 1774](#)

Edmund Burke

FOR

[Britain's two-party political system isn't working](#)

Steve Hilton *Guardian* 20 April 2016

[Political parties are bad for democracy](#)

Thomas Rademacher *Daily Cardinal* 24 February 2016

[The end of the party: how we could be heading for a post-democratic era](#)

Michael Kenny & Nick Pearce *New Statesman* 1 August 2014

[Liberate MPs from their party shackles and give them a free vote](#)

Graham Brady *Telegraph* 7 March 2013

AGAINST

[How American politics went insane](#)

Jonathan Rauch *Atlantic* August 2016

[Why political parties are good for America](#)

David Cole *Odyssey* 15 February 2016

[Political parties improve democracy and it is implausible that it could be successful without them](#)

Ann Kristin-Kolln *Democratic Audit* 6 January 2015

[Political parties must be reformed: they are the best way to deliver democracy](#)

Chuka Umunna *Guardian* 5 January 2014

IN DEPTH

[After the vote, the implosion of the political class](#)

Tim Black *spiked* 27 June 2016

[A crisis of trust - and the rise of new political parties](#)

Alberto Nardelli *Guardian* 6 November 2014

4 of 6

NOTES



BACKGROUNDEERS

[The week Democracy died](#)

Yascha Mounk *Slate* 14 August 2016

[Are political parties out-of-step with UK voters?](#)

BBC News 11 August 2016

[Huge rise in Labour membership figures show Corbyn's strength](#)

Sam Power *The Conversation* 5 August 2016

[More democracy within parties, less democracy without](#)

Doug Saunders *Globe & Mail* 30 July 2016

[Corbyn's populism is bad for British democracy](#)

Thomas Baker *News Hub* 28 July 2016

[Jeremy Corbyn support rises among party members](#)

Luke Chambers *YouGov* 19 July 2016

['Austerity of hope' as Australians lose faith in politics, Liberal strategist Mark Textor says](#)

Shalailah Medhora *Guardian* 10 March 2016

[The new social contract: Trump, Sanders, Cruz](#)

Robert Freeman *Salon* 6 February 2016

[Towards sustainable democracy in Nigeria: The role of political parties](#)

Ifeanyi Okowa *Guardian* 24 September 2015

[Functions of political parties in democracy](#)

Amit Goel *Important India* 21 July 2015

[The party system is undemocratic – here's why](#)

Matt Alfalfafield *Alfalfafield* 12 July 2015

[Crisis of political legitimacy heralds change at the top in India](#)

The Conversation 1 January 2014

[Europe must confront crisis of legitimacy](#)

Tony Barber *Financial Times* 23 April 2012

[Britain's would-be independent MPs](#)

Alex Barker *Financial Times* 10 April 2010

[Political parties: necessary for democracy?](#)

Matthias Caton *IDEA* 12 March 2007

[What MPs do](#)

Parliament

[The party system](#)

Parliament

[Ancient Greek Democracy](#)

History.com

[Political Parties: Past, present and future](#)

Free Parliament

5 of 6

NOTES



IN THE NEWS

[More than 120 Republicans tell RNC to cut off funds to Donald Trump](#)

CBS News 16 August 2016

[Parliament still to recover from expenses scandal, says ex-MP](#)

BBC News 14 August 2016

[Labour's leadership battle exposes civil war for soul of the party](#)

Financial Times 11 August 2016

[Former GOP senator pleads with RNC to replace Trump](#)

Politico 9 August 2016

[Labour will SPLIT if Jeremy Corbyn is re-elected leader, warns challenger Owen Smith](#)

Daily Mail 3 August 2016

[Cameron's 'cronies' honours list leads to calls for overhaul of system](#)

Guardian 1 August 2016

[SNP's bid to replace Labour as Commons opposition rejected](#)

BBC News 29 July 2016

[Revealed: Labour MPs go to police over death threats after refusal to back Jeremy Corbyn](#)

Telegraph 29 July 2016

[Lord Ashdown launches political movement to stop British politics being 'dragged away to the extreme](#)

Telegraph 24 July 2016

[Under pressure from Bernie Sanders, Democrats poised to change how they pick nominees](#)

Los Angeles Times 23 July 2016

[Labour leadership: Over 183,000 people register to vote as Corbyn and Smith prepare for battle](#)

International Business Times 20 July 2016

[German far right Pegida movement seeking to establish political party, says leader Lutz Bachmann](#)

International Business Times 19 July 2016

[Britain delivers stunning rejection to EU](#)

Wall Street Journal 24 June 2016

[Reclusive millionaire to fund disaffected MPs in the next general election](#)

Independent 6 March 2016

[Independent MPs - can they make a difference?](#)

BBC News 7 March 2015

6 of 6

NOTES

ORGANISATIONS

[Free Parliament](#)

[Parliament](#)



ADVICE FOR DEBATING MATTERS

DEBATING MATTERS
**TOPIC
GUIDES**

www.debatingmatters.com

FOR STUDENTS

READ EVERYTHING

In the Topic Guide and in the news - not just your side of the argument either.

STATISTICS ARE GOOD BUT.....

Your opponents will have their own too. They'll support your points but they aren't a substitute for them.

BE BOLD

Get straight to the point but don't rush into things: make sure you aren't falling back on earlier assertions because interpreting a debate too narrowly might show a lack of understanding or confidence.

DON'T BACK DOWN

Try to take your case to its logical conclusion before trying to seem 'balanced' - your ability to challenge fundamental principles will be rewarded - even if you personally disagree with your arguments.

DON'T PANIC

Never assume you've lost because every question is an opportunity to explain what you know. Don't try to answer every question but don't avoid the tough ones either.

FOR TEACHERS

Hoping to start a debating club? Looking for ways to give your debaters more experience? Debating Matters have a wide range of resources to help develop a culture of debate in your school and many more Topic Guides like this one to bring out the best in your students. For these and details of how to enter a team for the Debating Matters Competition visit our website, www.debatingmatters.com

FOR JUDGES

Judges are asked to consider whether students have been brave enough to address the difficult questions asked of them. Clever semantics might demonstrate an acrobatic mind but are also likely to hinder a serious discussion by changing the terms and parameters of the debate itself.

Whilst a team might demonstrate considerable knowledge and familiarity with the topic, evading difficult issues and failing to address the main substance of the debate misses the point of the competition. Judges are therefore encouraged to consider how far students have gone in defending their side of the motion, to what extent students have taken up the more challenging parts of the debate and how far the teams were able to respond to and challenge their opponents.

As one judge remarked *'These are not debates won simply by the rather technical rules of schools competitive debating. The challenge is to dig in to the real issues.'* This assessment seems to grasp the point and is worth bearing in mind when sitting on a judging panel.



**“A COMPLEX
WORLD REQUIRES
THE CAPACITY
TO MARSHALL
CHALLENGING IDEAS
AND ARGUMENTS”**

**LORD BOATENG, FORMER BRITISH HIGH
COMMISSIONER TO SOUTH AFRICA**