

JANUARY 2009

**THE
INTERNET**

HELEN BIRTWISTLE



DEBATING MATTERS
TOPIC
GUIDES

www.debatingmatters.com

MOTION:

**“THE INTERNET
NEEDS TO BE
REGULATED”**

CONTENTS

Introduction

Key terms

The internet debate in context

Essential reading

Backgrounders

Organisations

In the news

KEY TERMS

Censorship

Freedom of Speech

Internet regulation

Internet service providers

Moral panics

INTRODUCTION

1 of 7

NOTES

1
1
2
4
5
5
6

In a remarkably short space of time the internet has become one of the most powerful mediums in history. In the early days of the internet, 'digital utopians' [Ref: [Electronic Frontier Foundation](#)], where ideas and goods could be exchanged freely. However, a spate of recent incidents including the Bridgend suicides [Ref: [Times Online](#)] has restarted the debate about the 'dark side of utopia' and the potential of the internet to cause harm. Following the publication of the Byron review [Ref: [Department for Children, Schools & Families](#)] earlier this year, and the subsequent report from the Select Committee for Culture, Media and Sport [Ref: [Parliament UK](#)], the UK government is now pressing for regulation to protect children from harmful material on the net. Highlighting the increased use of the internet to promote and plan acts of terrorism, home secretary Jacqui Smith also stated that the 'internet can't be a no-go area for government' [Ref: [Guardian](#)]. But Britain's rules on internet censorship came under sharp scrutiny recently when the Internet Watch Foundation (IWF) [Ref: [Internet Watch Foundation](#)] blocked pages on Wikipedia [Ref: [Wikipedia](#)] relating to a 1970s album cover [Ref: [The Register](#)] featuring a picture of a naked girl. A number of commentators have raised concern about the ease with which unaccountable bodies such as the IWF are able to impose censorship on web users and the sophistication of the 'architecture for censorship' in the UK [Ref: [Guardian](#)]. The trialing of the Australian 'cyber-safety plan' [Ref: [BBC News](#)], a filtering system to be imposed by internet service providers on all Australian web connections, has also sparked controversy across the globe.



Who controls the internet?

The fact that the internet is not controlled by any single authority means that global regulation of the internet is both complex and evolving. Captured in Lawrence Lessig's now famous dictum 'code is law' [Ref: [Stanford University](#)] regulation of the web is different to that of other media, with developments in technology dictating the way in which the internet is policed as much as legislation. As the organisation that technically administers the net it is the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) [Ref: [Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers](#)] that underpins the degree to which it can be regulated. However, with the huge global growth in internet users, decisions about regulation are also increasingly in the hands [Ref: [New York Times](#)] of internet service providers (ISPs) [Ref: [Webopedia](#)], search machines and companies such as Google. Governments are also clamouring for influence, and as broadband use expands it is likely that state agencies will play a more influential role in deciding what web users can access. Whilst government censorship in countries such as China has been criticised in the Western press, many other countries have also banned certain website content [Ref: [Electronic Frontiers Australia](#)]. In the UK the only websites that ISPs are expected to block are those that the IWF has reported as containing images of child pornography, but the Home Office is considering access to articles on the web deemed to be 'glorifying terrorism' [Ref: [Guardian](#)].

Does the internet cause harm?

Although Byron and others who follow her view suggest that we should be wary of moral panics [Ref: [Media Know All](#)], they also

state that the protection of children from online dangers cannot wait for evidence of causal links. It should be based instead on probability of 'risk'. Similarly, although research carried out on pro-suicide sites is inconclusive about the relationship between exposure to such information and increased risk, many researchers have recommended preventative measures, including advising regulation be taken by ISPs to block access to sites considered dangerous [Ref: [A Typeon Link](#)]. Concerns about the spread of terrorism and incitement to racial or religious hatred have also caused some to call for the banning of certain groups' websites [Ref: [Social Cohesion](#)]. But critics are sceptical of the claim that people absorb ideas like 'mindless sponges' [Ref: [S. Rajaratnam School of International Studies](#)]. They argue that ideas on the internet don't transform people on their own, but that we all actively engage with content according to previously developed models of the world we have internalised. Instead of looking at the internet as determining our actions, they argue we should understand the web as a reflection of society – problems in society will not be solved by taking down a web page but by deliberations in the real world.

A moral question?

The debate about how we should respond to controversial sites returns to the question of how we weigh freedom of expression against other considerations. Proponents of regulation argue that an uncompromising commitment to freedom of expression blinds us to other moral imperatives. Writing on free speech rulings in the United States, theologian David Hart wonders how society has got to a point where it values the rights of pornographers above those of children [Ref: [New Atlantis](#)].



DEBATE IN CONTEXT CONTINUED...

3 of 7

NOTES

British journalist Yvonne Roberts argues that for the YouTube generation a bit of moralising is 'desperately required' if we are to avoid brutalising young people [Ref: [Guardian](#)]. But others have questioned the need for unelected councils, such as the recently launched UK Council for Child Internet Safety [Ref: [BBC News](#)], to decide what children should be allowed to view. They argue that it is the unregulated nature of the internet that encourages us to behave like adults in deciding what we and our children should and shouldn't view. Defenders of free expression on the internet underline the argument that a key principle of democracy is that unfettered information facilitates public enlightenment [Ref: [Boston Globe](#)]. As the most powerful information medium in the modern world, our attitudes to regulation of the internet are a testing ground for our commitment to free speech [Ref: [spiked](#)].



ESSENTIAL READING

Free speech and the internet

Guardian comment is free 11 November 2008

Is the Internet out of control?

Matt Warman and Shane Richards *Daily Telegraph* 31 July 2008

For

Why the IWF was right to ban a Wikipedia page

Struan Robertson *The Register* 9 December 2008

Voice of reason?

Yvonne Roberts *Guardian comment is free* 28 May 2008

Using the web as a weapon: the internet as a tool for violent radicalization and homegrown terrorism

Mark Weitzman Testimony before the *US House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security* 6 November 2007

The pornography culture

David Hart *The New Atlantis* July 2004

Against

Is internet radicalization possible?

Bill Durodié and Ng Sue Chia *RSIS Commentaries* 21 November 2008

The dangers of internet censorship

Harry Lewis *Boston Globe* 5 November 2008

The best foundation for the web: open debate

Martyn Perks *spiked* 23 September 2008

Internet freedom

Sandy Starr *New Humanist* April 2002

In Depth

Googles gatekeepers

Jeffrey Rosen *New York Times* 30 November 2008

Safer children in a digital world: the report of the Byron Review

Tania Byron *Department for Children, Schools and Families* 27 March 2008

How modern terrorism uses the internet

Gabriel Weimann *Asian Tribune* 21 February 2007

The internet as friend or foe of intellectual freedom

Elizabeth A Buchanon *International Journal of Information Ethics* November 2004

Excerpt from "Not in front of the children: 'indecenty', censorship and the innocence of youth"

Marjorie Heins *Electronic Frontier Foundation* May 2001

4 of 7

NOTES



BACKGROUNDERS

Caught in the web

Battle of Ideas debate on FORA.tv November 2008

Don't have security nightmares

Bill Thompson *BBC News* 21 October 2008

The internet smokescreen

Tim Stevens *openDemocracy* 21 August 2008

Policing the internet: Q&A

Oliver Luft *Guardian Media* 31 July 2008

Select Committee on Culture, Media and Sport Tenth Report: a summary July 2008

Virtual Caliphate: Islamic extremists and the internet

James Brandon *Centre for Social Exclusion* 11 June 2008

Suicide and the internet

Lucy Biddle et al *British Medical Journal* 12 April 2008

At a glance: the Byron Review

BBC News 27 March 2008

Are children safe in the digital world?

BBC News Have Your Say 27 March 2008

Video speech

Viviane Reding *Family Online Safety Institute* 6 December 2007

China's latest export: web censorship

Holden Frith *Times Online* 10 February 2007

Why broadcast rules won't work on the internet

Anthony Lilley *Guardian Media* 26 June 2006

Code is law: on liberty in cyberspace

Lawrence Lessig *Harvard Magazine* 1 January 2000

Bibliography of internet regulation

Internet Law and Policy Forum

5 of 7

NOTES

ORGANISATIONS

American Civil Liberties Union

Index on Censorship

Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN)

Internet Watch Foundation

Reporters Without Borders

UK Council for Child Internet Safety



IN THE NEWS

Wikipedia falls foul of British censors

Guardian 8 December 2008

Australian firewall trials start

BBC News 3 December 2008

Microsoft, Google and Yahoo pledge to protect internet freedoms around world

Guardian 29 October 2008

Jacqui Smith plans broad new 'Big Brother' surveillance powers

Daily Telegraph 16 October 2008

Children's web watchdog launched

BBC News 29 September 2008

Finnish gunman's video puts YouTube policies back in spotlight

Guardian 23 September 2008

IOC admits internet censorship deal with China

Reuters 30 July 2008

Byron report targets Google, YouTube in internet safety shake-up

Times Online 27 March 2008

US seeks terrorists in web worlds

BBC News 3 March 2008

Pro-anorexia site clampdown urged

BBC News 24 February 2008

Bridgend suicides: police warn of Bebo 'internet suicide cult'

Independent 27 January 2008

Government targets extremist websites

Guardian 17 January 2008

Gangs and gun crime rekindle the debate on tighter internet regulation

Times Online 31 August 2007

Pro-anorexia rife on social networking sites

Daily Telegraph 14 August 2007

'Electronic Jihad' app offers cyberterrorism for the masses

Information Week 2 July 2007

Terrorist 007 'was internet propagandist for al-Qaeda'

Times Online 26 April 2007

German gamers face jail for acts of virtual violence

Guardian 12 December 2006

6 of 7

NOTES



ABOUT DEBATING MATTERS

Debating Matters because ideas matter. This is the premise of the Institute of Ideas & Pfizer Debating Matters Competition for sixth form students which emphasises substance, not just style, and the importance of taking ideas seriously. Debating Matters presents schools with an innovative and engaging approach to debating, where the real-world debates and a challenging format, including panel judges who engage with the students, appeal to students from a wide range of backgrounds, including schools with a long tradition of debating and those with none.



FIND OUT MORE

Debating Matters engages a wide range of individuals, from the students who take part in the debates, the diverse group of professionals who judge for us, the teachers who train and support their debaters, and the young people who go on to become Debating Matters Alumni after school and help us to continue to expand and develop the competition. If you enjoyed using this Topic Guide, and are interested in finding out more about Debating Matters and how you can be involved, please complete this form and return it to us at the address below.

Debating Matters Competition
Academy of Ideas Ltd
Signet House
49-51 Farringdon Road
London
EC1M 3JP

Yes, I'd like to know more. Please send me further information about the Debating Matters Competition:

I am a teacher and would like further details about events in my area and how to enter a team

I am a sixth form student and would like further details about events in my area

I am interested in becoming a Debating Matters judge

I am interested in sponsoring/supporting Debating Matters

Other (please specify)

First name

Surname

School/company/organisation

Professional role (if applicable)

Address

Postcode

Email address

School/work phone

Mobile phone

**“TEENAGE CITIZENS
THINKING DEEPLY
ABOUT...SOCIAL
ISSUES”**

IAN GRANT, CEO, BRITANNICA

**DEBATING MATTERS
TOPIC
GUIDES**

www.debatingmatters.com