MARCH 2016

ONLINE PRIVACY

ANWAR ODURO-KWARTENG



"IN A DIGITAL AGE
WE SHOULD NOT
EXPECT OUR ONLINE
ACTIVITIES TO
REMAIN PRIVATE"

ORGANISED BY

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH



Institute of Ideas





CONTENTS

Introduction

Key terms

The Online Privacy debate in context

Essential reading

Backgrounders

Organisations

In the news

KEY TERMS

Censorship

Digital Revolution

Privacy

Right To Be Forgotten

INTRODUCTION

1 of 6

25 years on from the introduction of the World Wide Web, the internet now permeates our everyday lives; many of us use it daily to shop, pay our bills, and communicate with friends. The digital revolution [Ref: Techopedia] has made unfathomable amounts of information available at the click of a mouse, opening us up to the world like never before. But have the benefits of the digital age come at a cost to our privacy? From recent stories involving celebrities such as Jennifer Lawrence having their email accounts hacked, resulting in personal photographs being leaked to the media and online [Ref: Independent], and Facebook attempting to manipulate users moods [Ref: London Review of **Books**], to Google admitting that users of its Gmail system can have no "reasonable expectation" that their communications are confidential [Ref: Guardian], privacy online has become a key issue of our time. Some argue that: "The rules of online privacy will continue to change" [Ref: Slate], but suggest that technological innovation means that we actually have a more dynamic, interconnected world as a result. Whilst for others, the threat to privacy in the digital world is far more dangerous, because "the internet doesn't just see what we want it to see. Its gaze is not limited to the airbrushed facades of our digital profiles. It peers around the corner, and sees the tangled mess behind the scenes" [Ref: Guardian]. Across the world, the role of government in surveilling our online activities for security reasons also concerns many observers [Ref: Independent]. With many of us now increasingly living our lives online, has the digital

age changed how we perceive the private sphere, or is privacy an

absolute that we should expect online as well as off?

NOTES

How much do we care about online privacy?

For UK civil liberties campaigner Shami Chakrabarti, privacy is "an important part of the human experience" both online and off, and should be protected as far as possible [Ref: British Library]. Despite such sentiments, John Naughton, a professor of the public understanding of technology, observes that the debate about online privacy is a fundamentally confused one – with users complaining about breaches of privacy by government, social media sites and corporations, whilst simultaneously surrendering all kinds of personal information on the web. He concludes by arguing that "when push comes to shove, their privacy isn't as important to them as they say it is" [Ref: <u>Guardian</u>]. More fundamentally, the digital age has meant that: "We have come to the end of privacy...the internet is radically altering our sense of what (if anything) should remain private." [Ref: Guardian] The advent of the digital age was meant to embody the free sharing and dissemination of information between people across borders, and this human need to remain connected with each other is the very basis of the internet. However, media commentator Greg Satell argues that our ambivalence towards privacy is not new because: "Whilst we do value privacy, we value other things more" [Ref: Forbes]. After all, as one critic of internet privacy argues, we are not compelled to use social media: "If you want your online social activity to be as private as possible, simply stop using free, ad-supported social networks altogether." [Ref: Independent]

State security in the digital age

"Privacy has never been an absolute right" declared Richard Hannigan, the new head of the British intelligence and security organisation GCHQ, when defending state surveillance [Ref: Financial Times]. In July 2014, the debate surrounding privacy and security came to public attention when the UK government controversially passed the new Data Protection and Investigatory Powers Act (DRIP), which strengthened their ability to access digital information by compelling communications companies to store all user data for 12 months for use by the security services [Ref: Gov.uk]. Advocates of state surveillance argue that radical terrorist groups such as Islamic State now regularly use social media to communicate, and even obtain instructions on how to build incendiary devices online, meaning that robust legislation is vital [Ref: <u>BBC News</u>]. The British Home Secretary suggests that powers which allow police and security services to track our online activities, are vital in solving complex criminal enterprises, and could even be used to help catch paedophiles who may use the internet to distribute indecent images [Ref: Guardian]. In opposition to these arguments, many are gravely concerned about the encroachment on our privacy that state surveillance represents, with the United Nation's Navi Pillay describing internet privacy as being an issue as important as human rights [Ref: Guardian]. For supporters of online privacy more broadly, the issue is a moral one; privacy is an inviolable right, even in a digital age, and the state and corporations having the ability to invade that right is a very serious issue because: "To maintain any validity, our democratic rights must apply in virtual as well as real space." [Ref: Change.Org] In an Israeli context, one commentator argues that, "the issue of data privacy barely makes a ripple.

Except for a few hard-core devotees of the issue, most of them lawyers, it is hard to point to any agency that leads the field or represents private citizens who face threats to their privacy that come from large companies or state institutions." [Ref: Ha'aretz]

Do we need an online Magna Carta?

In response to the revelations of widespread online surveillance by former United States National Security Agency (NSA) operative Edward Snowden, the UN Human Rights Council stated that: "There is a universal recognition of the fundamental importance, and enduring relevance, of the right to privacy, and to ensure that it is safeguarded in law and in practice" [Ref: Human Rights Council]. Subsequently, 800 years after Magna Carta [Ref: British Library], creator of the World Wide Web, Sir Tim Berners-Lee has been among those calling for a Digital Bill of Rights, in order to ensure privacy and freedom of expression to all internet users worldwide [Ref: <u>Huffington Post</u>]. Supporters of online privacy are unhappy with the effect that surveillance and data collection is having on the web, with one commentator suggesting that the internet has lost its way - abandoning the principles of freedom and egalitarianism which it was meant to embody: "At its inception the world wide web seemed to promise an escape from corporate and governmental powers, an egalitarian free-for-all. Now? It has increasingly become a sophisticated extension of them. The hopes once nurtured by the man who invented the web have been not so much abandoned as betrayed." [Ref: Guardian] However, questions remain about the degree to which privacy should be protected online. In 2014, the 'Right to be forgotten' ruling by the European Court of Justice, set a precedent which means that individuals, companies

and even governments, can apply to internet search engines such as Google requesting that information about them be removed from search results [Ref: BBC News]. For critics this is worrying because the principle of the ruling indicates that "the balance between privacy and freedom of expression is tilting in the wrong direction" [Ref: The Times]. In addition, others argue that individuals and governments now essentially have the right to airbrush their past, meaning that: "The question of censorship is inevitable" [Ref: Atlantic]. Has the digital age so fundamentally changed our understanding of the private sphere that: "The edifices of privacy that we once thought we understood are melting like ice in a heat wave" [Ref: Guardian]? Is privacy an absolute, or should we be more realistic online – after all, the internet was created for the sharing and dissemination of information, which we all do willingly? And ultimately, in a digital age, should we expect our online activities to remain private?

ESSENTIAL READING 4 of 6 NOTES

In Israel, invasion of privacy gets little attention

Amitai Ziv Ha'aretz 30 January 2015

The right to privacy in a digital age

Human Rights Council 30 June 2014

FOR

The web is a terrorist's command and control network of choice

Richard Hannigan Financial Times 3 November 2014

Freedom to search

The Times 5 July 2014

I'm not worried about online privacy

Alex Proud Telegraph 16 June 2014

We are looking at PRISM from the wrong angle

Andy Law Huffington Post 13 June 2013

AGAINST

Nobody cares about their online privacy, until its gone

John Naughton Guardian 1 March 2015

Destroying online privacy will make the world a more dangerous

place

Carly Nyst Telegraph 6 November 2014

The death of Privacy

Alex Preston Observer 3 August 2014

The primacy of internet privacy

The Age 9 March 2014

IN DEPTH

The solace of oblivion

Jeffrey Toobin New Yorker 29 September 2014

The Internet's original sin

Ethan Zuckerman Atlantic 14 August 2014

Online privacy law: Israel

Library of Congress



BACKGROUNDERS

5 of 6

NOTES

Magna Carta in the twentieth century

Dr Alexander Lock British Library 2015

State surveillance is enabled by our own sloppy habits

John Naughton Guardian 13 December 2014

Let's face it, we don't really care about online privacy

Greg Satell Forbes 1 December 2014

Why the internet has turned us all into hypocrites

John Naughton Guardian 16 November 2014

While the internet is accountable to nobody, privacy will be in

crisis

Jenny Judge Guardian 31 October 2014

Jennifer Lawrence's nude photo leak is not her fault

Grace Dent Independent 1 September 2014

There is no privacy in the internet of things

Daniel Newman Forbes 30 August 2014

How the web lost it's way, and its founding principles

Stuart Jeffries Guardian 24 August 2014

Data Retention and Investigatory Powers Act (DRIP) 2014

Home Office 25 July 2014

We need a Magna Carta for the internet

Sir Tim Berners-Lee Huffington Post 5 June 2014

What is the right to be forgotten?

John Lee BBC News 13 May 2014

Privacy in the digital age

Shami Chakrabarti British Library 2014

Take my online data, please

Cyrus Nemati Slate 6 February 2014

Snowdon's legacy: a public debate about online privacy

John Cassidy New Yorker 20 August 2013

Facebook: if you're worried about privacy, simply don't use it

Alex Masters Independent 4 December 2012

Big Data puts privacy in question as information becomes

<u>currency</u>

Aleks Krotoski Guardian 22 April 2012

The end of online privacy?

Charles Arthur Guardian 28 February 2012

ORGANISATIONS

British Library: My Digital Rights Project

Change.org

Privacy International

IN THE NEWS 6 of 6 NOTES

Google is listening to you, Israeli tech-blogger reveals Times of Israel 25 June 2016

Privacy is dead, but don't worry, says top tech blogger

Times of Israel 18 May 2015

Leave Facebook if you want to retain your privacy

Guardian 26 March 2015

Facebook data privacy case to be heard before European Union

Court

Guardian 24 March 2015

'Internet Privacy as important as Human Rights' says UN's Navi

Pillay

Guardian 26 December 2014

Theresa May: Bill could have helped catch peadophiles

Guardian 10 December 2014

Facebook and Twitter have become 'command and control

network of choice for ISIS' GCHQ chief warns

Independent 4 November 2014

GCHQ, terrorists and the internet: what are the issues?

BBC News 4 November 2014

US threat to British online privacy

Telegraph 27 September 2014

World's leading authors: State surveillance of personal data is

theft

Guardian 10 December 2013

Google: don't expect privacy when using Gmail

Guardian 23 August 2013

Senators vote to require a search warrant for emails

Independent 30 November 2012

High Court rejects petitions against 'big brother' law

Ynet News 28 May 2012

'Big Brother Law' allows biggest database in West

Ha'aretz 18 December 2007



ADVICE FOR DEBATING MATTERS



FOR STUDENTS

READ EVERYTHING

In the Topic Guide and in the news - not just your side of the argument either.

STATISTICS ARE GOOD BUT.....

Your opponents will have their own too. They'll support your points but they aren't a substitute for them.

BE BOLD

Get straight to the point but don't rush into things: make sure you aren't falling back on earlier assertions because interpreting a debate too narrowly might show a lack of understanding or confidence.

DON'T BACK DOWN

Try to take your case to its logical conclusion before trying to seem 'balanced' - your ability to challenge fundamental principles will be rewarded - even if you personally disagree with your arguments.

DON'T PANIC

Never assume you've lost because every question is an opportunity to explain what you know. Don't try to answer every question but don't avoid the tough ones either.

FOR JUDGES

Judges are asked to consider whether students have been brave enough to address the difficult questions asked of them. Clever semantics might demonstrate an acrobatic mind but are also likely to hinder a serious discussion by changing the terms and parameters of the debate itself.

Whilst a team might demonstrate considerable knowledge and familiarity with the topic, evading difficult issues and failing to address the main substance of the debate misses the point of the competition. Judges are therefore encouraged to consider how far students have gone in defending their side of the motion, to what extent students have taken up the more challenging parts of the debate and how far the teams were able to respond to and challenge their opponents.

As one judge remarked These are not debates won simply by the rather technical rules of schools competitive debating. The challenge is to dig in to the real issues.' This assessment seems to grasp the point and is worth bearing in mind when sitting on a judging panel.

FOR TEACHERS

Hoping to start a debating club? Looking for ways to give your debaters more experience? Debaitng Matters have have a wide range of resources to help develop a culture of debate in your school and many more Topic Guides like this one to bring out the best in your students. For these and details of how to enter a team for the Debating Matters Competition visit our website, www.debatingmatters.com



"A COMPLEX WORLD REQUIRES THE CAPACITY TO MARSHALL CHALLENGING IDEAS AND ARGUMENTS"

LORD BOATENG, FORMER BRITISH HIGH COMMISSIONER TO SOUTH AFRICA